



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,692	06/12/2001	Massimo Aleardi	713-428	3317

7590 12/18/2002

Lowe Hauptman Gilman & Berner
Suite 310
1700 Diagonal Road
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

LE, THANH TAM T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2839	

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/744,692	ALEARDI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 June 2001.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4, line 1, "Claim 5" should be changed to – Claim 3--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Reynolds et al. (4,261,629) in view of Pawlikowski (5,357,074).

Reynolds et al., figures 9 and 11, disclose a board-mounted electronic device comprising:

- a board (42) for supporting electronic components and supporting at least one conductive track to form an electric circuit (48);
- an insulated electric wire (64) having an inner conductor and an insulating sheath; and
- at least one terminal (2) for electrically connecting the circuit; The terminal comprising a metal blade (6) which is defined means for mechanically

retaining and electrically connecting the electric wire and which acts on an end portion of the inner conductor;

Reynolds et al. disclose the instant claimed invention as described above except for the metal blade formed in one piece with the track of the circuit and bent into L outwards of the plane of the board.

Pawlowski, figures 3 and 5, discloses a figure (20) having a pad-proximate surface (26) connects with contact pad (52) of the circuit board (50) by the fusible material (34), which read on the metal blade formed in one piece with the track of the circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Reynolds et al. to have the pad-proximate surface, the fusible material and the L-shape's figure as taught by Pawlikowski, in order to reduce cost manufacture.

Regarding claim 2, Reynolds et al., figure 6, disclose the blade comprising a slot having a cutting edges for cutting the insulating sheath. The slot comprising a semicircular seat of a diameter substantially equal to the inner conductor of the electric wire and smaller than the diameter of the insulator sheath.

Regarding claim 3, Reynolds et al., figure 6, disclose the slot is substantially V-shaped groove for assisting insertion of the end of the electric wire inside the seat.

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Pawlikowski discloses the circuit comprising a number of tracks, each defined by a semicut metal strip applied to the board.

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Pawlikowski discloses the board is molded from synthetic plastic resin. The strips being co-molded with the board.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-5711. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

TL.
December 7, 2002



LYNN FIELD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800